

Record of proceedings dated 03.07.2015

O. P. No.86 of 2015

M/s Indian Wind Power Association vs TSTRANSCO, TSDISCOMS & TGNREDCL
Petition filed u/s 61 (h) & 86 (1) (e) of Electricity Act, 2003 clause 15.12.1 & 5.12.2 of national electricity policy and clause 6.4 of national tariff policy for determination / refixation of several factors that form part of the tariff for the State of Telangana.

Sri. S. S. Murali secretary of the petitioner Association for the petitioner and Sri. J Aswini Kumar Advocate representing Sri. Y. Rama Rao counsel for the respondent are present. The representative of the petitioner stated that the petition is filed for determination of the tariff for the third control period. He placed in his submissions some of the technical details relating hub height, wind velocity and capacity utilisation factor. The counsel for the respondents has no objection.

The representative was asked to make through their counsel on the next date of hearing to address the issue of an association filing a petition before the Commission as the Commission cannot entertain a pro-pono- publico as it is not a court of record and is only a statutory body bound to act within the provisions of the statute under which it has been established. The representative agreed to submit the arguments on the issue along with the merits of the case.

The Commission adjourned the hearing on the condition that the petitioner complies the requirement of submissions on the issue raised by the Commission, but made it clear that no further adjournment should be sought either by the petitioner or the respondents and the parties should be ready with all the facts to submit arguments. Adjourned.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Call on 03.08.2015
At 11:00 AM
Sd/-
Chairman

O. P. No. 87 of 2015

M/s Wind Independent Power Producers Association vs TSDISCOMS
Petition filed u/s 61 r/w 86 (1) (e) of the Electricity Act, 2003 seeking determination of tariff for wind energy projects beyond 31.03.2015.

Sri. Tushar Nagar and Sri. Ankit Chabra for the petitioner and Sri. J Aswini Kumar Advocate representing Sri. Y. Rama Rao counsel for the respondent are present.

The counsel for the petitioner stated that the petition is filed for determination of the tariff for the third control period. He placed in his submissions some of the technical details relating hub height, wind velocity and capacity utilisation factor. The counsel for the respondents has no objection.

The counsel for the petitioner was asked to address the issue of an association filing a petition before the Commission as the Commission cannot entertain a pro-pono-publico as it is not a court of record and is only a statutory body bound to act within the provisions of the statute under which has been established. The counsel agreed to submit the arguments on the issue along with the merits of the case.

The Commission adjourned the hearing on the condition that the petitioner complies the requirement of submissions on the issue raised by the Commission, but made it clear that no further adjournment should be sought either by the petitioner or the respondents and the parties should be ready with all the facts to submit arguments. Adjourned.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Call on 03.08.2015
At 11:00 AM
Sd/-
Chairman